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#### Abstract

Let $T_{k}$ be a forwarding tree of degree $k$ where each vertex other than the origin has $k$ children and one parent and the origin has $k$ children but no parent $(k \geqslant 2)$. Define $G$ to be the graph obtained by adding to $T_{k}$ nearest neighbor bonds connecting the vertices which are in the same generation. $G$ is regarded as a discretization of the hyperbolic plane $H^{2}$ in the same sense that $Z^{\prime \prime}$ is a discretization of $R^{d}$. Independent percolation on $G$ has been proved to have multiple phase transitions. We prove that the percolation probability $\theta(p)$ is continuous on [0.1] as a function of $p$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $T_{k}$ be a forwarding tree of degree $k$, where each vertex other than the origin has $k$ children and one parent and the origin has $k$ children but no parent ( $k \geqslant 2$ ). Define $G$ to be the graph obtained by adding to $T_{k}$ nearest neighbor bonds connecting the vertices which are in the same generation (see Fig. 1). Independent percolation on the hyperbolic graph $G$ was first studied by Benjamini and Schramm. ${ }^{(2)}$ The name hyperbolic graph comes from the fact that $G$ can be regarded as a discretization of the hyperbolic plane $H^{2}$. It was proved in ref. 2 that for independent percolation on $G$ there exists no, infinitely many, or a unique infinite clusters, respectively when the parameter $p$ is small, intermediate, or close to 1 (see also ref. 7 for results of Ising/Potts models on $G$ ). In order to make our statement precise, we first introduce a few notations. Independently declare each site of $G$ to be open with probability $p$ and closed with probability $1-p$.
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Fig. I. $G$ is obtained by adding $T_{3}$ horizontal nearest neighbor bonds connecting equalgeneration sites of $T_{3}$.

Write $P_{p}$ for the resulting probability measure and $E_{p}$ the expectation. For any set of sites $A \subset G$ and any site $x \notin A$ denote by $x \leftrightarrow A$ the event that there exists a sequence of distinct sites $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ such that $y_{0}=x$ and $y_{n} \in A$, and for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, y_{i-1}$ and $y_{i}$ are nearest neighbors and $y_{i}$ is open. Note that for convenience $y_{0}$ is not required to be open (but $y_{n}$ is). Denote by $o \leftrightarrow \infty$ the event that the above-defined sequence is infinite with $y_{0}=o$, the origin. Define

$$
\theta(p)=P_{p}(o \leftrightarrow \infty)
$$

and

$$
p_{c}=\inf \{p>0: \theta(p)>0\}
$$

Let $\partial B_{\prime \prime}$ be the set of sites in the $n$th generation of $o$ (so $\left|\partial B_{n}\right|=k^{\prime \prime}$ ) and let $B_{n}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\prime \prime} \partial B_{k}$, where $\partial B_{0}=\{0\}$. For any site $x \in G$, denote by $x+B_{n}$ the shift of $B_{n}$ by $x$, and by $x+\partial B_{n}$ the shift of $\partial B_{n}$ by $x$, which represents the set of sites in the $n$th generation of $x$. When it will not cause confusion, we will also use $B_{n}$ to denote the set of bonds which have both end points in $B_{n}$. Write $x+G$ for the shift of $G$ by $x$, which represents all of the descendants of $x$. Now, $x+G$ is isomorphic to $G$.

For $p<p_{c}, \theta(p)=0$ and hence it is a continuous function of $p$. For $p \geqslant p_{c}, \theta(p)$ is continuous from the right by a simple argument of Russo ${ }^{(6)}$ (see also ref. 4, p. 118). Russo's argument is as follows. $\theta(p)$ is the limit of the decreasing sequence $P_{p}\left(o \leftrightarrow \partial B_{n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, $P_{p}\left(o \leftrightarrow \partial B_{n}\right)$ is a continuous function of $p$ since the event $o \leftrightarrow \partial B_{n}$ depends only on the status of the finitely many sites in $B_{n}$. So $\theta(p)$ is upper semicontinuous,
hence it is continuous from the right since it is a nondecreasing function of $p$. For continuity from the left, it was proved by van den Berg and Keane ${ }^{(3)}$ (see also ref. 4, p. 119) that if $p$ is strictly above $p_{c}$ and if the infinite cluster is unique, then $\theta(p)$ is continuous from the left. However, this method does not work if $p$ is in the region where there are infinitely many infinite clusters or if $p=p_{c}$. In this note we use an argument similar to that of Barsky et al. ${ }^{(1)}$ and that of Pemantle ${ }^{(5)}$ to prove that for any $p \geqslant p_{c}, \theta(p)$ is continuous from the left. We therefore have the following theorem.

Theorem. For independent percolation on the hyperbolic graph $G$, $\theta(p)$ is a continuous function of $p$ on $[0,1]$. In particular, $\theta\left(p_{r}\right)=0$.

For any site $x \in G$ write $\theta^{v}(p)=P_{p}(x \leftrightarrow \infty)$. For different sites $x$ and $y, \theta^{\prime \prime}(p)$ and $\theta^{v}(p)$ may be different functions since the graph $G$ is inhomogeneous. But it is not hard to see by the FKG inequality that for any $p$ either $\theta^{x}(p)=0$ for all $x \in G$ or $\theta^{x}(p)>0$ for all $x \in G$. It can be shown using the same argument presented in the next section that $\theta^{x}(p)$ is continuous in [0,1] for any $x \in G$.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM

## Define

$$
Y_{n}=\left\{y \in \partial B_{n}: o \leftrightarrow y \text { in } B_{n}\right\}
$$

Denote by $\left|Y_{n}\right|$ the number of sites in $Y_{n}$. We have the following result.
Lemma 1. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|Y_{n}\right|=\infty$ a.s. on the event $o \leftrightarrow \infty$.
The proof of the lemma is not difficult. If there exists a subsequence $\left\{Y_{u_{k}}\right\}$ such that $\left|Y_{n_{k}}\right|$ stays bounded, then the probability that none of the sites in $Y_{n_{k}}$ is connected to $\infty$ is bounded away from zero, hence eventually $\left|Y_{n_{k}}\right|=0$, a contradiction to $o \leftrightarrow \infty$. For a detailed argument see p. 122 of ref. 1.

Lemma 2. If $\theta(p)>0$, then there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\theta(p-\delta)>0$.
Proof. For any number $A \in(0, \theta(p))$, choose $M$ such that $M>1 / A$. From Lemma 1, $P_{f}\left(\left|Y_{n}\right|>M\right) \rightarrow \theta(p)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So one can choose an integer $N=N(M, A, p)$ such that $P_{p}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M\right)>A$. Now, $P_{p}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M\right)$ is a continuous function of $p$ since the event $\left|Y_{N}\right|>M$ depends only on the status of the finitely many sites in $B_{N}$. So one can choose $\delta>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p-i}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M\right)>A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now fix the site density to be $p-\delta$. For each $x \in Y_{N}$, define

$$
Y_{N}(x)=\left\{y \in x+\partial B_{N}: x \leftrightarrow y \text { in } x+B_{N}\right\}
$$

For different $x$ and $y$ of $Y_{N},\left|Y_{N}(x)\right|$ and $\left|Y_{N}(y)\right|$ are i.i.d random variables having the same distribution as $\left|Y_{N}\right|$. So we have defined a Galton-Watson process which is supercritical since, by (1), $E\left|Y_{N}\right| \geqslant E\left|Y_{N}\right| I_{\mid Y_{N \mid>M}} \geqslant$ $M A>1$. So the probability that the above defined Galton-Watson process survives is positive. The proof is then completed by noticing that the percolation process with site density $p-\delta$ dominates the Galton-Watson process in the sense that if the Galton-Watson process survives, then $0 \leftrightarrow \infty$.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 2 is that $\theta\left(p_{c}\right)=0$, since if $\theta\left(p_{c}\right)>0$, then $\theta\left(p_{c}-\delta\right)>0$ for some $\delta>0$, a contradiction to the definition of $p_{c}$.

Proof of the Theorem. As explained in the introduction, we only need to prove that $\theta(p)$ is continuous from the left. If $\theta(p)=0$, then $\theta(p)$ is clearly continuous from the left at $p$. Assume $\theta(p)>0$. By Lemma 2 there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\theta(p-\delta)>0$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ choose an integer $M$ large enough such that $(1-\theta(p-\delta))^{\prime \prime}<\varepsilon$. This inequality is still valid if $\delta$ is replaced by $\delta^{\prime}$ with $0<\delta^{\prime} \leqslant \delta$ since $\theta(p)$ is a nondecreasing function. As in the proof of Lemma 2, for the above chosen $M$, there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $P_{p}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M\right)>\theta(p)-\varepsilon$. By continuity of $P_{p}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M\right)$ as a function of $p$, there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that $P_{p-j^{\prime}}\left(\left|Y_{\|}\right|>M\right)>\theta(p)-\varepsilon$ when $\delta^{\prime}<\delta_{0}$. Hence we have that when $\delta^{\prime}<\min \left(\delta_{0}, \delta\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta\left(p-\delta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=P_{n-\delta^{\prime}(0 \leftrightarrow \infty)} \\
& \quad \geqslant P_{p-\delta^{\prime}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M, \text { and there exists } x \in Y_{N} \text { such that } x \leftrightarrow \infty \text { in } x+G\right)} \quad \geqslant P_{p-\delta^{\prime}}\left(\left|Y_{N}\right|>M\right)\left[1-\left(1-\theta\left(p-\delta^{\prime}\right)\right]^{M} \quad\right. \text { by independence } \\
& \quad>(\theta(p)-\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon) \geqslant \theta(p)-2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\theta(p)-\theta\left(p-\delta^{\prime}\right)<2 \varepsilon$. This completes the proof, since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary.
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